9.1: Atlantic County – Jurisdictional Annex

Summary of Hazards and Actions

Summary	Summary of Hazard Ranking and Actions, Atlantic County								
Hazard	Hazard Ranking	# of Related Actions	Action #s						
Atmospheric Hazards									
Extreme Temperatures	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Extreme Wind	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Hail	L	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Hurricane & Tropical Storm	Н	9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Lightning	L	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Nor'easter	М	9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Tornado	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Winter Storm	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
	Hydrologi	c Hazards							
Coastal Erosion	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Sea Level Rise	Н	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Dam Failure	N/A	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Levee Failure	N/A								
Drought	L	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Flood	н	9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Tsunami		7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Storm Surge	Н	9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
Wave Action	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
	Geologic	: Hazards							
Earthquake	L	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						
	Other Natu	ral Hazards							
Wildfire	М	7	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10						

Mitigation Strategy for Risk Reduction

	Overview of Municipal Mitigation Strategy, Atlantic County								
Project Number	Project Description	Hazard(s) Addressed	Priority	Goals					
1	Road elevations and bulkheading: intersection of Wellington Avenue (County Route 629) and Albany Avenue (US Route 40/322)	Flood, Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, Nor'easters	Н	3					
2	Improve stormwater pump facility (Ventnor Avenue (County Route 629), New Brunswick Avenue, and Winchester Avenue)	Flood, Hurricanes/Tropical Storms, Nor'easters	Н	3					
3	Public Awareness and Education	All	Н	1					
4	Review of local comprehensive plans for incorporation of disaster mitigation techniques	All	Н	4					
5	Workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issues that arise regarding hazard mitigation	All	Н	2					
6	Integrate principles of hazard mitigation plan into local planning and regulations	All	Н	4					
7	Update and maintain ACOEP website	All	Н	1					
9	Review and update of local codes and ordinances to address hazards	All	Н	4					
10	Integrate hazard mitigation into development plans and strategies	All	Н	4					

More detailed information on these individual actions is presented at the end of this annex.

Contact Information

Phone:

609-407-6767

Atlantic County's Statement of Authority to Participate identified a Primary Point of Contact and an Alternate for this hazard mitigation plan update. These individuals represented the jurisdiction on the county-wide Core Planning Group and led a local team of Jurisdictional Assessment Team Members who undertook various local activities related to the plan update

HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN POINTS OF CONTACT						
	Primary Point of Contact	Alternate Point of Contact				
Name: Vincent J. Jones, III Title: Chief of Staff Department: Atlantic County Office of Emergency Preparedness Address: 5033 English Creek Avenue, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234 Phone Number: (609) 407-6740 E-mail Address: Jones_Vincent@aclink.org		Name: Karen Koptic Title: Operations and Training Officer Department: Atlantic County Office of Emergency Preparedness Address: 5033 English Creek Avenue, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234 Phone Number: (609) 407-6767 E-mail Address: Koptic_Karen@aclink.org				
	Jurisdictional A	ssessment Team Members				
Please fill in the contact de the person filling in this pa						
Name:	Name: Karen Koptic					
Title:	Ops & Training Officer-OEM					
Community Name:	Community Name: County					
County:	Atlantic County, NJ					
Email:						

*Note: If your community does not have someone filling the role of a position title in Column A please insert the word "none" in Column B. Thank you

*Note: If your community does not have someone filling the role of a position title in Column A, please insert the word "none" in Column B. Thar						
Local Jurisdiction Role/Position	Name	Email	Phone	Date of Invitation	Method of Invitation	Agreed to participate?
Land Use/Community Planner	Bob Lindaw, Planning	Lindaw_robert@aclink.org	609-645-5898 x4435	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Emergency Manager	Vincent Jones, OEM Director	jones vincent@aclink.org	609-407-6740	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Public Works Director/City Eng	Jay Steinmetz	steinmetz_jay@aclink.org	609-645-7700 x6604	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Fiscal/Budget Officer	Bonnie Lindaw	Lindaw bonnie@aclink.org	609-343-2221	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Manager/Administrator	Jerry DelRosso	DelRosso_jerry@aclink.org	609-343-2203	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Other 1:OEM	Karen Koptic	koptic karen@aclink.org	609-407-6767	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Other 2:Planning	John Peterson, Planning	Peterson_john@aclink.org	609-645-5898 x4427	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Other 3:Planning	Brian Walters, Planning	Walters brian@aclink.org	609-645-5898 x4416	07/01/21	E-Mail	Y
Other 4:Public Works	Gregory Brookins, Fleet	brookins gregory@aclink.org	4428	07/13/21	E-Mail	Y
Other 5:Public Works	Mark Shourds, Reg Ping & Eco Dev	shourds mark@aclink.org	4665	07/13/21	E-Mail	Y

Atlantic County has reported undertaking the following internal activities in preparation of its mitigation plan:

		INVOLVED PARTIES - Place an 'x' for any position title that participated									
Subject of JAT Activity (Meeting Notice, Emails, Etc.)	Date	Land Use/ Community Planner	Emergency Manager	Public Works Director/City Engineer	Fiscal/Budget Officer	Manager/ Administrat or	Other 1: OEM		Other 3: Planning	Other 4: Public Works	Other 5: Public Works
Kick-Off Meeting Invitation Sent	05/05/21		Υ				Υ				
Attendance at Meeting Kick-Off	05/21/21		Υ				Υ				
Email for follow-up/staus meeting	07/05/21		Υ				Υ				
Status/Update Meeting	07/15/21		Υ				Υ				
County Newletter informing of HMP Update	07/30/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				
Press release asking for public comment	08/03/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				
Additional press release asking for public comment	07/23/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				
YouTube video announced on County website explaining HMP	07/16/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				
Press release announing HMP Update	05/20/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				
Revision of Worksheets	07/22/21		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	>	Υ
No changes	07/23/21		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Questions regarding change in personnel/assisting completion	07/12/21		Υ			Υ	Υ				

Governing Body Format

In 1974, Atlantic County voters changed the county governmental form under the Optional County Charter Law to the executive form. The charter provides for a popularly elected executive and for a nine member commissioners board, responsible for legislation. The commissioners are elected to staggered three-year terms. Five of the commissioners represent equally populated districts; four are elected from the county-at-large.

Land Use and Development Trends

An evaluation of growth and development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan in 2010, and the last update in 2016. As part of this second plan update, Atlantic County reviewed and updated its prior feedback to reflect current conditions as of mid-2021.



Atlantic County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Worksheet #3 – Growth and Development Trends Update

Performing an assessment of Growth and Development Trends is one step of a FEMA-approved hazard mitigation plan update. This look into the future is important because development in hazard areas could put more assets at risk and, in turn, could work to increase potential disaster-related damages at a time when the mitigation plan's purpose is to reduce the potential for damages emanating from natural disasters. Growth/development trends are based on the construction of new buildings, demolition of old buildings, or the planning, zoning or subdivision of new areas for development. A stagnant or decreasing population alone is not reason for stating that no development has occurred, unless backed up by a lack of development.

An evaluation of growth/development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the 2016 plan. Your municipality's prior response from 2016 is included on the next page in Part 1. Please review your community's prior feedback, and identify any changes that have occurred since that time so the most current information can be reflected in this 2021 plan update. In addition, please provide responses for Part 2.

Information for the 2016 plan was provided to us by: <u>John Peterson</u>. <u>Department Head</u>. <u>Atlantic County Regional Planning & Development</u>

Your Name: _John Peterson						
Title:D/H, Planning Director						
Community: Atlantic County	Community: Atlantic County					
Email and Dhone notorson john@aclink.org.600645.5909						



Part 1 – Please update your response from 2016 to reflect current conditions in the community.

Community	1. Land Uses and Development Trends in Hazard Areas as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Please describe development trends occurring within your jurisdiction, such as the predominant types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use. While details are preferred, it is ok if your feedback is qualitative and quite general, such as "high-occupancy, high-density residential development is occurring near the waterfront".	1a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021	Regulations/Codes/Ordinances To Protect New Development From Natural Hazards as Reported in the 2016 Plan. Does your jurisdiction enforce regulations/ordinances/codes to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards? (Some examples might be floodplain management ordinances enforcing FEMA's NFIP for new development or substantial improvements in the floodplain; steep slope ordinances for community's which may have landslide hazards; earthquake resistant design criteria and/or high wind design criteria; or buffer zones in wildfire hazard areas.) If so, please describe.	2a. Please update your response to reflect conditions in 2021
Atlantic County	Since the 2010 plan was published Atlantic County has been in the midst of severe economic downturn. Four casinos have closed in the last two years resulting in the loss of more than 8,000 jobs. As a result development in the County as a whole has stalled. It is not anticipated that there will be a notable increase in the near future as foreclosures of residential units and the number of vacant commercial structures is at a very high level.	Since 2016 the Atlantic County Economic Alliance has been working to diversify the County's economy in particular with the creation of the National Aviation Research Technology Park (NARTP) The first of multiple buildings has been constructed and hundreds of new high tech jobs are anticipated over the next several years.	Atlantic County regulates all development along county roads of 5 residential units or more and all commercial development. The county only reviews for access and drainage issues for those developments. The county drainage standards protect county roads and drainage facilities from flooding from storm events.	Same.

	Is major development planned? (yes/no)					
If major development is planned, please describe generally in the box to the right, and then complete the rows below.							
	Type Property Name (Residentia Commerci		No. of Structures	Address	Block and Lot	Known Hazard Zone	Description /Status
	NARTP	Research/Office/ Industrial	5	600 Aviation Research Boulevard, Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234		None	1 st building completed and occupied. Building #2 is in design and financing.

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses from Hazards

Estimated annual losses provided in this section are based on best available data, and the methodologies applied result in an approximation of risk. Loss estimates should be used to understand relative risk from hazards.

Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology, arising in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from approximations and simplifications that are necessary for a comprehensive analysis (i.e., incomplete inventories, demographics or economic parameters

It is important to note that this table reflects estimates of average annual damages. For any hazard, individual event damages could be substantially (orders of magnitude) higher.

Only hazards for which annual losses were quantified and presented in Section 3C are included in this table.

Summary of Estimated Potential Losses From Hazards							
Atlantic County Atlantic County							
Quantified Hazard	Annualized Loss	Annual Loss Ratio					
Extreme Wind	\$7,762,000	0.033%					
Hurricane and Tropical Storm	\$19,564,000	0.040%					
Lightning	\$67,000	0.000%					
Tornado	\$20,000	0.000%					
Drought*	\$6,034,000	5.000%					
Winter Storm	\$212,000	0.001%					
Coastal Erosion**	\$755,000	0.004%					
Flooding (Riverine)	\$4,686,000	0.011%					
Storm Surge (Coastal Flooding)	\$294,168,000	0.670%					
Earthquake	\$432,000	0.001%					

^{*}Derived from crop values



 $[\]hbox{\tt **} Assuming absence of current beach nour ishment and stabilization actions. \\$

Outreach to the Public and Other Stakeholders

As part of this 2022 Plan Update, Atlantic County undertook various activities to: (a) alert the public and other stakeholders to the fact that the HMP Planning Committee was working to develop the update; and (b) provide the public and other stakeholders with a forum to ask questions and submit comments and suggestions on the process. Outreach activities undertaken by Atlantic County included those listed below. Comments arising from outreach activities are presented on the following page.

		Outreach Activities	
Date of Activity	Type of Activity	Activity Details	Lead Department and/or Staff Title who Undertook Activity
5/20/2021	Press Release announcing Mitigation Plan Update	Posted on County website. Printed in local newspaper	County OEM
7/16/2021	YouTube Video explaining what the mitigation plan is and encourage public to comment	Posted on County website and County social media (Facebook & Twitter)	County OEM
7/22/2021	Annual Downbeach Community Meeting	Public Meeting to educate public on municipal & county-level emergency services and mitigation plans.	County OEM, Municipal OEM (Ventnor, Margate, Longport)
7/23/2021	Press Release directing public to County website to review plan and comment	Posted on County website. Printed in local newspaper	County OEM
7/30/2021	Article in County newsletter explaining process and asking for comments	Posted on County website.	County OEM.
8/3/2021	Press Release directing public to County website to review plan and comment	Posted on County website. Printed in local newspaper	County OEM
8/3/2021	Community Outreach Event - National Night Out	In-person attempts to engage public in commenting on plan update.	County OEM
8/6&7/2021	Community Outreach Event – County 4-H Fair	In-person attempts to engage public in commenting on plan update.	County OEM



	Comments Log								
#	Comment	Comment Submitted By	Comment Submitted To	Comment Submitted on Date	How was comment submitted?	Disposition (Concur; non- concur; will evaluate)	Response / Action Proposed	Responsible Party	Action taken
1	How does FEMA fit in?	Resident- Downbeach Comm	Vincent Jones	07/22/21	Oral		Explained FEMA process and how it integrates with County and its municipalities	Vincent Jones	Verbal response
2	Community Outreach - National Day Out - Buena			08/03/21			No questions/comments	Barry Kraus	Asked various attendees
3	Atlantic County 4-H Fair			08/06/21			No questions/comments	Karen Koptic	Asked various attendees
4	Atlantic County 4-H Fair			08/07/21			No questions/comments	Karen Koptic	Asked various attendees

Capability Assessment

This section describes the following capabilities of Atlantic County:

- Legal and regulatory capability
- Administrative and technical capability
- Fiscal capability
- Community resiliency
- Community political capability

As part of the 2022 Plan update, each participating jurisdiction reevaluated the capabilities they had provided for the 2016 Plan, and sent updates to the consultant for incorporation into this plan section. Atlantic County has reviewed its responses from the 2016 Plan and has updated its prior feedback to reflect present-day conditions.

Legal and Regulatory Capability						
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?					
Building Code						
Zoning Ordinance						
Subdivision Ordinance	Y					
Special Purposes Ordinance						
Growth Management Ordinance						
Site Plan Review Requirements	Y					
Comprehensive Plan	Y					
Capital Improvements Plan	Y					
Economic Development Plan	Y					
Emergency Response Plan	Y					
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Y					
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	Y					
Real Estate Disclosure Ordinance						
Evacuation Plan	Υ					

Administrative and Technical Capability							
Regulatory Tools (Codes, Ordinances, Plans)	Do you have this?						
Planner(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Υ						
Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Υ						
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Y						
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Y						
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards	Υ						
Floodplain manager	N/A						
Surveyors							
Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Y						
Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Y						
Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community							
Emergency Manager	Υ						
Code Enforcement Official							
Public Works or Highway Superintendent							
Emergency Management Coordinator	Y						

Fiscal Capability					
Financial Resources	Accessible or Eligible to use				
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Y				
Capital Improvements Project Funding	Y				
Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Υ				
Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	Υ				
Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers for New Developments/Homes					
Incur Debt through General Obligation Funds					
Incur Debt through Special Tax and Revenue Bonds					
Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds					
Withhold Spending in Hazard-Prone Areas					
Other (i.e., coastal, sustainability, or climate change initiatives; or Brownfield funds)					



Would you classify your community's legal and regulatory capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall technical capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall fiscal capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall administrative capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your political leadership's willingness to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community as high, moderate, or low - even if met with opposition? (i.e., guiding development away from identified hazard areas)?
low	high	moderate	moderate	high
in-line with you	ur mitigation act ry structure (ho	tions and goals.		mpower counties to enact

Plan Integration

It is the intention of Atlantic County to incorporate mitigation planning as an integral component of daily municipal operations. The balance of this subsection describes local accomplishments over the last planning cycle (2016-2021), and targeted activities for the next planning cycle (2022-2027), as reported by the County's CPG members and shared with the consultant for incorporation here.

<u>Demonstration of Progress over the Last Planning Cycle (2016-2021)</u> – Atlantic County undertook the following plan integration mechanisms over the last planning cycle:

Post Sandy Strategic Recovery Plan New County Master Plan incorporating resiliency standards Model Ordinance Guidelines for municipal plans

<u>Targeted Plan Integration Activities for the Next Planning Cycle (2022-2027)</u> – Atlantic County plans to implement the following plan integration mechanisms into local government operations from this point forward through the next planning cycle:

Continued dissemination of relevant public information and participation in the NJDEP sponsored Atlantic County Coastal Region resiliency project.

Mitigation Strategy

This subsection sets forth the mitigation strategy for Atlantic County. It describes, in the following order:

- Progress on 2016 Plan Actions
- Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments
- Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions
- Action Worksheets

Progress on 2016 Plan Actions

The following table was completed by the Atlantic County JAT Committee Members. It summarizes the progress that was made on the local hazard mitigation initiatives that were set forth in the last version of the plan in 2016.

Atlantic County has identified no major changes in priorities since the last version of the plan.

	PROGRESS ON 2016 HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INITIATIVES											
						Stat	us			Rele	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
1	The intersection of Wellington Avenue (County Route 629) and Albany Avenue (US Route 40/322) is a critical junction in emergency evacuation for the high density populations of the southern portions of Atlantic County, Ventnor and Margate as well as an additional large seasonal population. This low lying area is subject to extreme flooding that is worsened by rainfall events. Improvements to this location will include raising the intersection approaches frequently above flood elevation. The final improvement will include new bulkheads and mechanical techniques flood gates to prevent flooding and recurring damages to residences and commercial establishments.	High	Flooding, Tropical Storms, Nor'easters, Hurricanes	Multi-jurisdictional project between: B.A., City of Atlantic City, County Administration, NJDOT, NJDEP, USACOE etc				X	This is a multi-jurisdictional project and has no project lead at this time. The project scope and cost is undetermined at this time.	x		Project lead and funding.
2	Public awareness program on Hazards, Prevention, and Mitigation: County will maintain a hazard mitigation and mitigation planning web presence (local municipal web sites to link up to this site, if they haven't already done so); all participating jurisdictions to support preparation of a joint annual hazard mitigation and mitigation planning fact sheet and its distribution; periodic discussion of hazard mitigation and the mitigation plan at other regular local meetings; use of annual flyers, newsletters, advertisements, or radio/tv announcements, etc. at the discretion of each jurisdiction (incorporating as much free information as possible from the FEMA Publications Warehouse and other appropriate sources). (public education)	High	Flooding, Tropical Storms, Nor'easters, Hurricanes	Local government/Atlantic County.	X		X		Model Resiliency Guidelines and the Post Sandy Strategic Planning Report	х		Continuing public education and awareness and participation in the ACCR project
3	Public awareness program on Hazards, Prevention, and Mitigation: County will maintain a hazard mitigation and mitigation planning web presence (local municipal web sites to link up to this site, if they haven't already done so); all participating jurisdictions to support preparation of a joint annual hazard mitigation and mitigation planning fact sheet and its distribution; periodic discussion of hazard mitigation and the mitigation plan at	High	All	ACOEP			Х		County website is updated as needed. Community outreach events include conversations regarding mitigation and overall preparedness.	Х		No change.

				PROGRESS ON 2016 F	łAZARD MI	TIGATION	PLAN INI	TIATIVES				
						Stat	us			Relev	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
	other regular local meetings; use of annual flyers, newsletters, advertisements, or radio/tv announcements, etc. at the discretion of each jurisdiction (incorporating as much free information as possible from the FEMA Publications Warehouse and other appropriate sources). (public education)										-	
4	Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning Department (prevention)	Medium	All	Atlantic County Department of Regional Planning			Х		Review municipal plans when they are submitted to the County Planning Department in accordance with State statute.	х		N/A
5	Hold periodic workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issues that arise regarding natural hazards and hazard mitigation. (prevention)	Low	All	Atlantic County Department of Regional Planning			X		Municipal Emergency Managers are briefed on any changes during EMC bi-monthly meetings. Due to COVID-19, some staff have been assigned to COVID-related tasks.	Х		No change.
6	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies (prevention) through the local planning & regulations throughout the County. Assist municipalities in identifying mitigation strategies to improve current and future building and development to be more resilient in dealing with the effects of hazards such as hurricanes, flooding, nor'easters, storm surges, coastal erosion, etc	High	All	Atlantic County Department of Regional Planning			X		Municipal Emergency Managers are briefed on any changes during EMC bi-monthly meetings. Due to COVID-19, some staff have been assigned to COVID-related tasks.	X		No change.
7	Update information on the ACOEP web site regarding preparing for emergencies to incorporate profiled hazards not already discussed in these documents, and ensure that the information continues to be maintained on the web site. (public education)	High	All	ACOEP			Х		County website is updated as needed as well as press releases in local newspapers with potential weather-related threats and/or road closures due to mitigation efforts.	х		No change.

				PROGRESS ON 2016 H	AZARD MI	TIGATION	PLAN INI	TIATIVES				
						Sta	tus			Rele	vance	
2016 Plan Initiative Number	Mitigation Initiative Description	2016 Plan Priority	Hazard(s) Mitigated	Lead and Support Agencies	Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity	Not Initiated	Status Details	Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy	Relevance Details
8	Purchase of 3 portable 225kw generators to be stored at a County location. Upgrading the generation capabilities at designated shelter sites, with shelter agreements in place between the county and the shelter facility, by enhancing/improving or installing switch gear and receptacles at shelter sites so that they can be powered for shelter operations during outages. This will keep the sites usable as evacuation shelters or re-entry shelters during catastrophic events. It will also support lesser scale events (i.e. noreasters, blizzards, etc) when heating/cooling sites are needed for local events.	High	All	ACOEP	Х				Three (3) portable generators were purchased and are continuously tested for use when needed.		Х	Purchased.
9	Code Update: Review existing local codes and ordinances against the identified hazards to determine whether there needs to be any amendments to address identified hazards and, where a need is identified, modify/amend the codes/ordinances as applicable (prevention).	Medium	All	Planning Dept./ACOEM			Х		Codes are reviewed as necessary	Х		No change.
10	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention)	High	All	County and Municipalities			Х		Bi-monthly Emergency Manager Advisory Board (EMAB) meetings are held to discuss overall hazard mitigation plans as well as other mitigation strategies.	х		No change.

Hazard Mitigation Accomplishments (2016-2021)

The State of New Jersey 2019 HMP (Section 3, Page 3-30) requires identification of mitigation activities as an essential element of a local plan review. Information on the status of long-range mitigation strategies shall be contained in the original plan. Self-funded mitigation initiatives as well as past FEMA funded projects should be documented. The following table summarizes past mitigation accomplishments in Atlantic County since the last version of the plan was adopted in 2016.

Past Mitigation Accomplishments							
	Stat	us		Relationship to			
Project	Approved/Active Year	Completed Year	Funding Source	Plan			
Atlantic County Emergency Generator Upgrades & Radio Tower Retrofits	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Category #1 – Central Kitchen Warehouse Site, 210 S. Shore Road, Northfield, NJ 08225: DEC Electric	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Category #2 – Mays Landing Radio Site, 5070 Atlantic Ave., Mays Landing, NJ 08330: Alpine East Electric	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Category #3 – Galloway Police Radio Site, 300 E. Jimmie Leeds Road, Galloway Twp., NJ 08205: DEC Electric	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Category #4 – Egg Harbor Township Radio Site, 3515 Bargaintown Road, EHT, NJ 08234: Maguire Electric	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Category #5 – Canale Fire Training Center Site, 5033 English Creek Ave, EHT, NJ 08234: Maguire Electric	2018	2018	HMPG	Not in plan.			
Purchase of four (4) portable generators	2017	2017	HMPG	Item #8 from previous plan			
Trafficsignals	2015	2016	SHGP	Not in plan.			

Proposed 2022 Plan Mitigation Actions

The process for selection and prioritization of mitigation actions is described in greater detail in Section 6 of the main text. The outcomes of that overall process are summarized here.

This plan proposes the actions determined to be the most appropriate for the resources and capabilities of Atlantic County based on the experience of local officials, with input from the public and other stakeholders. Potential actions were reviewed relative to potential financial as well as administrative and legal costs and the degree to which they would be endorsed by the public. Potential actions were reviewed during the meetings relative to their potential benefit of effectiveness in saving lives, protecting the natural environment, and reducing disruption and damage. Actions selected by each jurisdiction include activities to protect existing and future structure and infrastructure and enhance community resilience.

Part of enhancing community resilience involves adapting to a changing climate. This plan's risk assessment includes information on climate change as part of the hazard vulnerability analysis and contain strategies/projects to address increased vulnerability that may result from climate change. By developing mitigating strategies and/or projects for hazards that are exacerbated by climate change, jurisdictions will better protect residents, avoid, or reduce damage to property and public infrastructure, and reduce personal hardship. Previous sections of this plan have presented information on how climate change may affect jurisdictional vulnerability or increased frequency of occurrence and/or severity in exposure to the identified hazards. Climate change is addressed by mitigating the various hazards that it exacerbates. Atlantic County has proposed a range of hazard mitigation initiatives to address their highest hazards including those hazards that are exacerbated by a changing climate.

Action priorities were determined by a qualitative prioritization process. A higher priority was assigned to projects where: the life/safety risk of taking no action was deemed to be unacceptably high; the project addresses one of the community's highest hazards and/or key risks; benefits were projected to equal or exceed project costs; critical facilities or key local assets were being protected; funding and staff resources were deemed to be sufficient and/or accessible for project implementation; the project is technically feasible and the community determined their legal authority to implement the action; negative environmental and/or social impacts were not identified during the assessment, or were very minimal; and/or where there was overall support for the project from the local community (government officials, public, and stakeholders).

Detailed Action Worksheets for each action item in the strategy are presented in the following pages.

	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Atlantic County
Community action number	#1 Albany/Wellington, Atlantic County
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	This will mitigate the more frequent periodic flooding and provide for more efficient evacuation of Absecon Island
Tidzaru(s) dudressed	during larger storm events such as hurricanes, nor'easters, and tropical storms.
Risk finding	The current configuration of the intersection and roadway allows for flooding on regular tidal events and during larger storms prevents evacuation of the Ventnor Heights and Chelsea Heights neighborhoods.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Infrastructure
Action type	Road Elevations and Bulkheading
Action description	The intersection of Wellington Avenue (County Route 629) and Albany Avenue (US Route 40/322) is a critical junction in emergency evacuation for the high density populations of the southern portions of Atlantic County, Ventnor and Margate as well as an additional large seasonal population. This low lying area is subject to extreme flooding that is worsened by rainfall events. Improvements to this location will include raising the intersection approaches frequently above flood elevation. The final improvement will include new bulkheads and mechanical techniques flood gates to prevent flooding and recurring damages to residences and commercial establishments.
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Maintain evacuation route for longer time period prior to and during storm events and reduce or eliminate
(i.e., benefits)	recurring losses due to residential and business flooding. The recurring losses in this area will be reduced by the completion of the road elevations and bulkheading project.
Cost estimate	The cost of the roadway portion of the project is estimated between \$3 million and \$5 million. The overall costs including raising of structures in the Chelsea Heights neighborhood have yet to be calculated.
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost)	Yes. Enhance life safety and reduce repetitive losses in area. Project is expected to be cost effective because their costs are expected to be less than the value of the estimated property damage that could occur and number of lives that could be lost under existing conditions.
Technical	Yes.
Political	All levels of government support.
Legal	This project is a multi-jurisdictional effort including the City the County an the State. Project agreements will be necessary to proceed once the funding source(s) are identified and secured.
Environmental	Yes. Wetlands mitigation required.
Social	Benefits all. Increase property value and become more resilient.
Administrative capability	yes
Local champion	Strong local support
Other community objectives	Further mitigation actions in neighborhoods.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	The area/roadways involved are geographically located in Atlantic City, and include County road (Wellington Ave) and State road (Albany Ave/Rt. 40/322) and the residential/commercial properties located in Atlantic City. This is a multi-jurisdictional effort and once funding source(s) are identified and secured project agreements will be necessary between the municipality, county & state.
Responsible party	Multi-jurisdictional project between: B.A., City of Atlantic City, County Administration, NJDOT, NJDEP, USACOE etc
Potential funding sources	HUD, EPA, HMPG, Local
Time line	5 years
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carry Forward
Action biogress status	Control of the Contro

	Action Worksheet
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your community name	Atlantic County
Community action number	#2 Ventnor, New Brunswick,& Winchester Ave. Margate/Ventnor
Community action number	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	Flooding, Tropical Storms, Nor'easters, Hurricanes
	Pump station is critical in removal of flood water in the communities of Ventnor and Margate.
Risk finding	Storm water system is antiquated and has produced multiple failures of the system resulting
	flooded streets, and residential/commercial properties in Ventnor and Margate and
	surrounding areas.
	Describing the Action
Astion satesans	Structural
Action category	
Action type	Improve stormwater pump facility Venture Avenue (County Pouts 630) New Principle Avenue and Winchester Avenue
Action description	Ventnor Avenue (County Route 629), New Brunswick Avenue, and Winchester Avenue
	(Municipal Roadways) contain an antiquated storm water system that is subject to frequent
	failure during low level storm events, resulting in flooding to county and municipal roadways of Ventnor and Margate as well as surrounding properties. Discussions have commenced
	between Atlantic County, the Cities of Margate and Ventnor, and the Atlantic County Utility
	Authority on design and replacement of this regional systems. Replacement of the entire
_	drainage system is anticipated.
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future
	Evaluating the Action
Losses avoided	Reduce flooding in neighborhoods, protecting lives & reduce property damage. Benefits would
(i.e., benefits)	improve evacuation time during significant storm event allowing residents & tourists to get
	out of harms way, and reduce loss of life. Less road flooding would result in reduced repair
	and maintenance costs for roads. Reduced roadway flooding would also allow safer traveling
	for the public and reduce the need for the municipalities/county to close flooded roads.
Cost estimate	5 million
Cost effectiveness	Significantly reduce flooding to dwellings and infrastructure, and be cost effective given the
(i.e., benefit/cost)	number of people (seasonal population is significantly higher) and value of property &
	infrastructure in the affected areas of both municipalities.
Technical	Yes.
Political	Strong local and regional support.
	Ventnor, Margate, Atlantic County, ACUA will partner and split costs in the project. The
Legal	jurisdictional and geographical location of the pump station is in Ventnor, and will directly
	impact residents & visitors of both municipalities as well as relieve the flooding on Ventnor
	Ave., a county road.
Environmental	Continuation of existing system.
Social	Yes. Impacting residents of Ventnor and Margate.
Administrative capability	Yes.
Local champion	Local government.
Other community objectives	
Other community objectives	Elimance other rivior rivices locals patting together.
	Implementing the Action
Driority	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	Local government/ Atlantic County. Pump station is located in the jurisdiction of Ventnor. Its
	failure affects residential properties and roadways in both Ventnor and Margate along with a
	county road, located with Ventnor and Margate. Once funding source is identified and
Posnonsible news	secured agreements will be necessary between the municipalities and county.
Responsible party	Local government/Atlantic County.
Potential funding sources	Local Budgets, Atlantic County, Grants, etc
- ' !'	F
Time line	5 yrs.
Time line	S yrs. Reporting on Progress Carry Forward.

	Action Worksheet
Variables seems	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan
Your plan name	
Your community name	Atlantic County #3 Public Awareness
Community action number	
	Assessing the Risk
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards
Risk finding	The public's general understanding of natural hazards and mitigation techniques could be improved. The overall level of disaster resistance, in a community, would increase if more households understood the above and acquired the low-cost or no-costs, small scale mitigation activities.
	Describing the Action
Action category	Education & Outreach
Action type	Public Awareness & Education
Existing, future &/or NA Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)	Public awareness program on Hazards, Prevention, and Mitigation: County will maintain a hazard mitigation and mitigation planning web presence (local municipal web sites to link up to this site, if they haven't already done so); all participating jurisdictions to support preparation of a joint annual hazard mitigation and mitigation planning fact sheet and its distribution; periodic discussion of hazard mitigation and the mitigation plan at other regular local meetings; use of annual flyers, newsletters, advertisements, or radio/tv announcements, etc. at the discretion of each jurisdiction (incorporating as much free information as possible from the FEMA Publications Warehouse and other appropriate sources). (public education) Existing & future Evaluating the Action Public awareness will build support for mitigation. Improve construction practices, and limit loss of life and property.
Cost estimate Cost effectiveness	No additional Benefits are unquantifiable but the project is expected to be cost effective because
(i.e., benefit/cost)	it is presumed to have a no net added costs, but very real (though unquantifiable) benefits.
Technical	yes
Political	Local gov't support.
Legal	yes
Environmental	No impact.
Social	Beneficial to entire population.
Administrative capability	yes. In house.
Local champion	ACOEP
Other community objectives	Enhance emergency preparedness of community.
	Implementing the Action
Priority	High
Local planning mechanism	ACOEP
Responsible party	ACOEP
Potential funding sources	County Budget
Time line	on going
	Reporting on Progress
Action progress status	Carry Forward

	Action Worksheet						
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan						
Your community name	Atlantic County						
Community action number	#4 Ensure Plans incorporate mitigation						
	Assessing the Risk						
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards						
Risk finding	By ensuring that local plans incorporate natural disaster techniques the risks to						
	people and property could be reduced from hazards such as hurricanes, tropical						
	storms, flooding, storm surge, nor'easters, coastal erosion, etc Hazard						
	mitigation techniques in local comprehensive plans can provide improved life						
	safety and protection of property in communities.						
	Describing the Action						
Action category	Local Planning and Regulations						
Action type	County Review of Plans						
Action description	Ensure that local comprehensive plans incorporate natural disaster mitigation						
	techniques through a courtesy review of draft plans by the County Planning						
	Department (prevention)						
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & future						
	Evaluating the Action						
Losses avoided	Benefits are unquantifiable but that risks to people and property could be						
(i.e., benefits)	reduced if local comprehensive plans address natural hazards and hazard						
	mitigation.						
Cost estimate	No additional costs.						
Cost effectiveness	Expected to be cost effective, since the project has some very real (though						
(i.e., benefit/cost)	unquantifiable) benefits as compared to zero net costs.						
Technical	Yes						
Political	State Law Requires (MLUL).						
Legal	State Law Requires (MLUL).						
Environmental	No Impact.						
Social	Effects everyone with no disruptions.						
Administrative capability	In House Admin. capacity.						
Local champion	Atl. Co. Dept of Regional Planning						
Other community objectives	Positively effects local and regional governments.						
	Implementing the Action						
Priority	Medium						
Local planning mechanism	Atl. Co. Dept of Regional Planning						
Responsible party	Atl. Co. Dept of Regional Planning						
Potential funding sources	Atl. Co. Dept of Regional Planning						
Time line	On going						
	Reporting on Progress						
Action progress status	Carry Forward						

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	ATLANTIC COUNTY	
Community action number	#5 Periodic Workshops	
	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards	
Risk finding	Prevent risks from increasing if local planning and zoning decisions are made without consideration of natural hazard and mitigation techniques.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Education and Awareness Programs	
Action type	Regional Planning Conference	
Action description	Hold periodic workshops for municipalities regarding zoning and planning issues that arise regarding natural hazards and hazard mitigation. (prevention)	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future	
Evaluating the Action		
Losses avoided	Coordinate mitigation activities will enhance life safety in County. Benefits are	
(i.e., benefits)	unquantifiable.	
Cost estimate	No additional Cost.	
Cost effectiveness	The project is expected to be cost effective, since it has some very real (though	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	unquantifiable) benefits as compared to zero net costs.	
Technical	Yes.	
Political	Local Government support.	
Legal	Yes.	
Environmental	No Impact.	
Social	Beneficial to entire population.	
Administrative capability	Yes. In House.	
Local champion	Atl.Co. Dept. of Regional Planning	
Other community objectives	Enhance coordination of mitigation efforts.	
	Implementing the Action	
Priority	Low	
Local planning mechanism	Atl.Co. Dept. of Regional Planning	
Responsible party	Atl.Co. Dept. of Regional Planning	
Potential funding sources	County Budget	
Time line	On going.	
Reporting on Progress		
Action progress status	Carry forward.	

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	Atlantic County	
Community action number	#6 Ensure Principles of HMP integrated in new and updated devel.	
•	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards	
Risk finding	Keeping new and updated development in line with the Hazard Mitigation Plan	
	Strategies.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Local Planning and Regulations	
Action type	Planning Mechanism for Master Plan.	
Action description	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the	
	new and updated development plans/strategies (prevention) through the local	
	planning & regulations throughout the County. Assist municipalities in	
	identifying mitigation strategies to improve current and future building and	
	development to be more resilient in dealing with the effects of hazards such as	
	hurricanes, flooding, nor'easters, storm surges, coastal erosion, etc.	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	The losses avoided are unquantifiable in encouraging resiliency in	
(i.e., benefits)	plan/construction within the County.	
Cost estimate	300,000	
Cost effectiveness	Save County and Municipalities money over time. Project is expected to be cost	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	effective, though unquantifiable, it can produce some real benefits.	
Technical	Yes.	
Political	Yes. County Freeholders support.	
Folitical	res. County Freeholders support.	
Legal	Yes.	
Environmental	Positive. Reduce impact on storm events.	
Social	Benefit all sectors of population.	
Administrative capability	In House and Consultant.	
Local champion	Atl. Co Dept. of Regional Planning	
Other community objectives	Furthers all resiliency objectives.	
Other community objectives	Tarthers an resimency objectives.	
Implementing the Action		
Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism	Atl. Co Dept. of Regional Planning	
Responsible party	Atl. Co Dept. of Regional Planning	
Potential funding sources	Community Development Block Grant Disaster Relief.	
Time line	5 years	
Reporting on Progress		
Action progress status	On Going. Board of Commissioners approval obtained.	

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	Atlantic County	
Community action number	#7 Update Info on County Website	
,	Assessing the Risk	
Hazard(s) addressed	All Hazards	
Risk finding	The disaster preparedness information will aid the public in educating them in how to be	
NISK IIII GIII G	better prepared for natural and man made hazards including hurricanes, tropical storms, flooding, wild fires, nor'easters, hazmat incidents, terroristic events, etc The public will have access to how to take mitigation actions in and around their homes and/or businesses, including raising utilities, shuttering windows, reinforcing roofs, installing standby generators, removing trees/brush, etc Public will also have access to evacuation routes, for hurricanes, and general information on disaster preparedness for their families/neighbors regarding emergency go kits, sheltering in place, evacuation timing, and what to expect/bring to an evacuation shelter. Continual updating of the disaster preparedness information will enable the residents and visitors of the county to	
	be better prepared and self sustaining during emergencies.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Education & Outreach	
Action type	Public Awareness & Education	
Action description	Update information on the ACOEP web site regarding preparing for emergencies to incorporate profiled hazards not already discussed in these documents, and ensure that the information continues to be maintained on the web site. (public education)	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & future	
g, and a square	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Public Awareness will enable population to develop a disaster preparedness plan. The	
(i.e., benefits)	losses avoided are unquantifiable.	
Cost estimate	No additional.	
Cost effectiveness	Expected to be cost effective since the project has some very real (though unquantifiable)	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	benefits as compared to zero net costs.	
Technical	yes	
Political	Local gov't support.	
Legal	yes	
Environmental	No Impact	
Social	Benefits All.	
Administrative capability	yes. In house.	
Local champion	ACOEP	
Other community objectives	Encourage emergency preparedness in community.	
Implementing the Action		
Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism	ACOEP	
Responsible party	ACOEP County Budget	
Potential funding sources	County Budget	
Time line	Ongoing	
Reporting on Progress		
Action progress status	Carry forward.	

Action Worksheet		
Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Your community name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Community action number	#9 Code Update	
Assessing the Risk		
Hazard(s) addressed	All	
Risk finding	Local codes & ordinances can be updated to address natural disaster mitigation techniques	
	for, if already included, they can be re-evaluated to improve upon or expand the mitigation	
	approach.	
	Describing the Action	
Action category	Local Planning & Regulations	
Action type	Adopt and enforce building codes.	
Action description	Code Update: Review existing local codes and ordinances against the identified hazards to	
	determine whether there needs to be any amendments to address identified hazards and,	
	where a need is identified, modify/amend the codes/ordinances as applicable (prevention).	
Existing, future &/or NA	Existing & Future	
	Evaluating the Action	
Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided	
(i.e., benefits)	over time.	
Cost estimate	No additional costs.	
Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater	
(i.e., benefit/cost)	than the costs of plan revisions.	
Technical	Technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy.	
Political	Local gov't support	
Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local gov't has appropriate authorities.	
Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts.	
Social	No anticipated impacts to any one portion of the population.	
Administrative capability	In house Admin.	
Local champion	Atl. Co. Regional Planning/ ACOEM/ Municipalities	
Other community objectives	Enhanced coordination of mitigation efforts.	
Implementing the Action		
Priority	Medium	
Local planning mechanism	Planning Dept./ACOEM	
Responsible party	Planning Dept./ACOEM	
Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget	
Time line	On going	
Reporting on Progress		
Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan.	

(i.e., benefits) are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00	Action Worksheet		
Community action number Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Risk finding The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities. Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses (i.e., benefits) are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated (i.e., benefit/cost) to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population	Your plan name	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Assessing the Risk Hazard(s) addressed All Risk finding The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities. Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided over time Cost effectiveness This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated (i.e., benefit/cost) to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		Atlantic County	
Hazard(s) addressed Risk finding The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities. Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Community action number	#10 Hazard Mitigation Plan Update	
Hazard(s) addressed Risk finding The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities. Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		Assessing the Risk	
Risk finding The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and activities. Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated mostive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Hazard(s) addressed		
Describing the Action Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	· ·	The community's overall level of disaster resistance would increase if hazard	
Action category Local planning and regulations Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	_	mitigation principles were more closely aligned with day-to-day operations and	
Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		activities.	
Action type Integrate mitigation into local planning Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		Describing the Action	
Action description Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Evisting and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Action category	I	
new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention) Existing, future &/or NA Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		Integrate mitigation into local planning	
Existing, future &/or NA Existing and future Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Action description	Ensure that the principles of this hazard mitigation plan are integrated into the	
Evaluating the Action Losses avoided (i.e., benefits)		new and updated development plans/strategies. (prevention)	
Losses avoided (i.e., benefits) Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Existing, future &/or NA	Existing and future	
(i.e., benefits) are avoided over time Cost estimate 150,000.00 Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Evaluating the Action		
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Losses avoided	Unquantifiable, but expected to be relatively high as compared to costs as losses	
Cost effectiveness (i.e., benefit/cost) This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	(i.e., benefits)	are avoided over time	
(i.e., benefit/cost) to be greater than the costs of plan revisions. Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Cost estimate	150,000.00	
Technical This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Cost effectiveness	This action is likely to be cost-effective, with losses avoided over time anticipated	
community mitigation strategy Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	(i.e., benefit/cost)	to be greater than the costs of plan revisions.	
Political No apparent political issues. Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Technical	This action is generally deemed to be a technically feasible component of a	
Legal No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities Environmental No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes		community mitigation strategy	
No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Political	No apparent political issues.	
Social No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population Administrative capability Yes	Legal	No anticipated legal impacts; local government has appropriate authorities	
Administrative capability Yes	Environmental	No anticipated positive or negative environmental impacts	
	Social	No anticipated impact to any one portion of the population	
Local champion ACOEP and Municipal Emergency Management Offices	Administrative capability	Yes	
	Local champion	ACOEP and Municipal Emergency Management Offices	
Other community objectives Hazard Mitigation Planning will enhance the overall community in developing a	Other community objectives	Hazard Mitigation Planning will enhance the overall community in developing a	
more disaster resilient community, resulting in a reduction of the high costs of		l	
impacts from hazardous events.		impacts from hazardous events.	
Implementing the Action		Implementing the Action	
Priority High	Priority	High	
Local planning mechanism Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	Local planning mechanism	Atlantic County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan	
Responsible party County and Municipalities.	Responsible party	County and Municipalities.	
Potential funding sources N/A - local staff time and local budget	Potential funding sources	N/A - local staff time and local budget	
Time line on going	Time line	on going	
Reporting on Progress			
Action progress status Carried forward from the last version of the plan	Action progress status	Carried forward from the last version of the plan	